ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - OA 742 OF 2019

Satiprasad Bandopadhyay \underline{v}_s The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. Order of the Tribunal with signature 2 Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessa 3 03 Image: Construction of the Applicant is in the Applicant is intervention of the Applicant is intervention of the Advocate. Image: Construction of the Applicant is intervention of the Applicant is intervention of the Applicant is intervention of the Applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper channel on May 17, 2019 may be considered in the light of the			
Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 03 For the Applicant : Mr. S. Samanta, Learned Advocate. 14.10.2020 For the Respondent : Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper			
03 For the Applicant : Mr. S. Samanta, Learned Advocate. 14.10.2020 For the Respondent : Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		2	of parties when necessary
03 Learned Advocate. 14.10.2020 For the Respondent : Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper	1		
14.10.2020 For the Respondent : Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper	02		
For the Respondent : Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		Learned Advocate.	
The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper	14.10.2020	For the Respondent : Mr. G.P. Banerjee,	
respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		Learned Advocate.	
respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper			
ancillary reliefs. Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		respondents to grant him benefit of provisional promotion and other	
Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		ancillary reliefs.	
pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper			
pressed for consideration of the representation of the applicant by the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper		Mr. Samanta, Learned Counsel for the applicant has only	
the respondent No. 1. He has contended that the representation of the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper			
the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper			
channel on May 17, 2019 may be considered in the light of the		the applicant submitted before the respondent no. 1 through proper	
		channel on May 17, 2019 may be considered in the light of the	
previous order of the Tribunal passed in a similar matter on		previous order of the Tribunal passed in a similar matter on	
January 31, 2019 in OA-157 of 2018. Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned		January 31, 2019 in OA-157 of 2018. Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned	
Counsel representing the state respondents, submits that he has		Counsel representing the state respondents, submits that he has	
no objection if the representation is considered in the light of the		no objection if the representation is considered in the light of the	
previous order passed in OA-157 of 2018.			
Under the above circumstances, we are inclined to dispose		Under the above circumstances, we are inclined to dispose	
of this original application by directing the respondent No. 1 to		of this original application by directing the respondent No. 1 to	
consider the representation of the applicant in the light of the		consider the representation of the applicant in the light of the	
observations made in the order dated January 31, 2019 passed in		observations made in the order dated January 31, 2019 passed in	
OA-157 of 2018. Accordingly, the respondent no. 1, Additional			

Satiprasad Bandopadhyay

....

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Form No.

Case No. OA 742 OF 2019

	Chief Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Department of
	Finance (Revenue), is directed to consider the representation of
	the applicant submitted on May 17, 2019 (page 51A of the present
	original application) in the light of the previous decision of the
	Tribunal in "Dipankar Mahato v. State of West Bengal & Others"
	(OA-157 of 2018 disposed of on January 31, 2019) by passing a
	reasoned order within a period of 8 (eight) weeks from the date of
	communication of this order along with copy of the present original
	application and copy of order and original application of "Dipankar
	Mahato v. State of West Bengal and Others" (OA-157 of 2018) and
	take necessary follow up action, if any, within a period of 2 (two)
	weeks thereafter.
	With the above direction, the original application stands
	disposed of.
	Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to both parties.
	(S.K. DAS) (R. K. BAG)
	MEMBER(A) MEMBER (J)
Sanjib	